IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	

FOR THE WESTERN D	ISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
AIR VENT, INC., Plaintiff,	3 6482084
v.	Civil Action No. 6793574
OWENS CORNING CORPORATION, Defendant.) Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Air Vent, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), by its attorneys, Tucker Arenaberg, P.C. and Lippes Mathias Woxler Friedman LLP, for its Complaint against defendant Owens Coming Corporation ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a Delaware corporation, having a principal place of business at 4117
 Pinnacle Point Drive, Suite 400, Dallas, Texas 75211.
- 2. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of United States Patents Nos. 6,299,528 ("the '528 Patent"), extitled "End-Ventilating Adjustable Pitch Arcusta Roof Ventilator," issued October 9, 2001; 6,482,084 ("the '084 Patent"), entitled "End-Ventilating Adjustable Pitch Arcuste Roof Ventilator," issued November 19, 2002; and 6,793,574 ("the '574 Patent"), entitled "Vent With Presecuted Mechanical Fasteners," issued September 21, 2004 (collectively "Patents-in-Suit").
- 3. Plaintiff is in the business and is a market leader, inter alta, of manufacturing and selling building construction products, including without limitation, roof ridge ventilation; ("ridge ventil"), creation of which are sold under the name and trademark "ShingleVent® II," which product its covered by and marked with the numbers of the "528 Pattert and the 1984 Pattert.

- On information and belief, Defendant is a Delaware corporation, having a principal place of business at One Owens Coming Parkway. Toledo. Ohio 43659.
- 5. Defendant is engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling residential and commercial building materials, including the business of offering and selling within the United States ridge vent producets for providing roof ventilation as set forth in one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 United States Code 88 1 et sea.
 - Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 United States Code § 1400(b).

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. From 2000 through 2008 Plaintiff manufactured for and sold to Defendant a four foot vernion of a ridge vent product under the name "VentSure Rigid Strip" that was substantially the same structurally and functionally as the ShingleVent II. In late January 2009, Defendant notified Plaintiff that it was terminating its contractual relationship with Plaintiff for the purchase of ridge vent products that Plaintiff had been selling to Defendant received its last units of Plaintiff's ridge vent product in March 2009.
- 9. Plaintiff first became concerned with Defendant's new VentSure ridge vent product in June 2010, when Defendant participated along with Plaintiff and other ridge vent manufactures and suppliers in an auction conducted by Menard, Inc., a large home improvement apply chain with headquarters in Eau Claire, Wisconsin, for 100,000 pieces of ridge vent product. At that time, Defendant significantly underbit the connectition including Plaintiff; and

won the order. Since that time Defendant has continued to aggressively market and sell the VentSure ridge vent product.

- On or about September of 2010 Plaintiff's lead engineer obtained a sample of the
 VentSure's ridge vent product, carefully examined it and turned the matter over to legal counsel.
- 11. It has been determined upon examination of the VentSure ridge vent product, that this product incorporates the same ventilation technology disclosed and claimed in the Patents-in-Sult. In particular, the VentSure product embodies the same concept of a circuitous path of air flow through two parallel tabs between the interior of a roof and the outside ambient as disclosed and claimed in Plaintiff's '528 and '084 Patents. In addition, the VentSure product incorporates tubular passageways for presecuring nails or other fasteners and is sold together with special nails provided with the product that are designed to presecure the nails in the VentSure roof ridge product prior to its installation on a roof, which would contribute to and induce infringement of Plaintiff's '574 Patent by purchasers and users of this product.
- 12. Defendant, by reason of its prior knowledge of the construction and proprietary nature of the ShingleVent II product, and the substantially identical ridge vent product that Plaintiff manufactured for it under its prior agreement, knew or had reason to believe that its VentSure ridge vent product Infringed Plaintiffs '528 and '684 Patents. By reason of Defendants business relationship with Plaintiff, Defendant knew or had reason to know of Plaintiffs '574 Patents and that its VentSure product would contribute to or cause inducement of infringement of that pittert when sold with milis for presecurement therein. Despite this knowledge, Defendant wilfully and in bad faith offers to sell, has sold and continues to sell the infringing VentSure ridge vent product.

IV. COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '528 PATENT

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 12 as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. Defendant's aforesaid activities constitute infringement, inducement of infringement and/or contributory infringement of the '528 Patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '528 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 15. As a result of Defendant's infringing conduct, Plaintiff has been ineparably damaged to an extent not yet determined and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from further acts of infringement.
- Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's willful infringement, which damages should be increased up to three times the amount found or assessed.

V. COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '084 PATENT

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 16 as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. Defendant's aforcesaid activities constitute infringement, inducement of infringement and/or contributory infringement of the '084 Patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '084 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 19. As a result of Defendant's infringing conduct, Plaintiff has been irrepurably damaged to an extent not yet determined and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from further acts of infrinsement.

4

 Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's willful infringement, which damages should be increased up to three times the amount found or assessed.

VI. COUNT 3: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '574 PATENT

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1
 through 20 as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant's aforesaid activities constitute infringement, inducement of
 infringement and/or contributory infringement of the '574 Patent. Upon information and belief,
 Defendant will continue to infrince the '574 Patent unless enioned by this Court.
- 23. As a result of Defendant's infringing conduct, Plaintiff has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts in the future unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from further acts of infringement.
- 24. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's willful infringement, which damages should be increased up to three times the amount found or assessed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendant Owens Corning Corporation as follows:

(a) That Defendant be adjudged to have infringed, induced others to infringe and/or committed acts of contributory infringement with respect to U.S. Patents Nos. 6,299,528, 6,482,084 and 6,793,574, and each of them, by making or having made, offering to sell and selling its VentSure ridge vent product, and that said infringement be declared willful:

5

- (b) That Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, parent corporations, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, successors, and all others in active concert or participation with it or acting on its behalf, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement of said natures:
- (c) That Defendant be ordered to account for and pay to Plaintiff all damages caused to said Plaintiff by reason of Defendant's infringement of said U.S. Patents Nos. 6,299,528, 6,482,084 and 6,793,574, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, including enhanced damages for willful infrincement:
- (d) That Plaintiff be granted prejudgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Defendant's infringement of said U.S. Patents Nos. 6,299,528, 6,482,084 and 6.793.574, and each of them:
- Determining that this is an exceptional case, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, and awarding Plaintiff its attorney fees; and
- (f) Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court may find just and equitable to remedy such infringement.

VII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38, Fed. R. Civ. P., Plaintiff demands a jury trial for all issues triable as of right by a jury in this case.

December 17, 2010

TUCKER ARENSBERG, P.C.

BY s' Katherine E. Koop Katherine E. Koop, Esquire PA I.D. No. 206432 1500 One PPG Place Pittsburgh PA 15222 (412) 566-1212

LIPPES MATHIAS WEXLER FRIEDMAN LLP

s Dariush Keyhani
Dariush Keyhani, Esu, (pro hac vice admission pending)
dkeyhani@Hopes.com.
Stiney R. Bresnick, Esu, (pro hac vice admission pending)
sbresnick@mercithkeyhani.com
665 Mani Swetz, Suite 300
Bulfalo, NY 14020
Bulfalo, NY 14020
Company of the Company of the Company of the Company
Company of the Company of

Case 5:10-cv-07362-JKG Document 3 Filed 12/17/10 Page 1 of 1

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

on the following

EDPA

REPORT ON THE

FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

TRADEMARK

X Patents or

☐ Trademarks:

% AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

Mail Step 8

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

filed in the U.S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EDPA Clerk's Office Room 2609, 601 Market St. Philadelphia. PA 19106 DEFENDANT 12-17-2010 Woodstream Corporation Kassouni Manufacturing, Inc. PATENT OR HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO OR TRADEMARK 7 188 448 B2 Mar. 13 2007 Woodstream Corporation In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED ☐ Amendment ☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading DATE OF PATENT HOLDED OF DATENT OF TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO OR TRADEMARK In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: (BV) DEPUTY CLERK Michael E. Kunz 12-17-2010 Copy 1-Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3-Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2-Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4-Case file copy

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

Northern District of New York

DEFENDANT

IU.S. DISTRICT COURT

REPORT ON THE

FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

TRADEMARK

on the following

AO 120 (Rev. (6/10)

DOCKET NO.

LAINTIFF

1:09-cv-071

TO:

Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

DATE FILED

filed in the U.S. District Court

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

☐ Trademarks or ☐ Patents. (☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

1/21/2009

In the above—entitled case, the following parents/y endomark(s) have been included: AFE INCLUDED PATIENT OR PATIENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK INCLUDER OF FATIENT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDER OF FATIENT OR TRADEMARK			
is the above—emitted case, the following parent(s) undomnt(s) have been included: NOTE INCLUDED PACILIDED AGENTICAL A			HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
is the above—emitted case, the following patent(s) trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR Anneximent Anneximent Cross Bill Other Finding PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMAKK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 2	US 6,831,459 B2	12/14/2004	The Research Foundation of State University of New York
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) trademark(s) have been included: ART INCLUDED NOCLUDED BY			
In the above—emitted case, the following parents/y rendometr(s) have been included: DRUMED BY Annothers Annothers Cress Bill Ohe Plending PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK PATENT OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 12			
In the above—entitled case, the following parent(y) undomné(s) have been included: ATE INCLUDED NATURE NATURE NATURE NATURE NATURE			
ART INCLUDED BY Annotations Annotation Constitution of Trademark PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 10 LDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK	PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	
1		DATE OF PATENT	
2		OK TRADEMOKA	
3			
3			
		 	
			l
	DECISION/JUDGEMENT	****	
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	Stipulation and Order o	Dismissal was filed 12/10	/2010.
DECISION/JUDGEMENT Stipulation and Order of Dismissal was filed 12/10/2010.			
	CLERK	I(BY	DATE DATE
Stipulation and Order of Dismissal was filed 12/10/2010.			10144 Lal 11-11 Li
Stipulation and Order of Dismissal was filed 12/10/2010.		ERMAN I	1 V/VI A/X a 20-15/A 1 1 12/20/2010